

Quadratic BSDEs with convex generators

Philippe Briand

joint work with Ying Hu

IRMAR, Université Rennes 1, FRANCE

<http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/philippe.briand/>

Workshop on Stochastic Equations and Related Topics

Jena, July 24–28, 2006

Backward Stochastic Differential Equation

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s \quad (\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$$

- ξ is the terminal value : \mathcal{F}_T -measurable
- f is the generator
- (Y, Z) is the unknown
- (Y, Z) has to be adapted to \mathcal{F}

Pardoux–Peng, '90

If f is Lipschitz w.r.t. (y, z) and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0)|^2 ds \right] < +\infty$$

$(\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$ has a unique square integrable solution.

Nonlinear Feynman-Kac's Formula

Semilinear PDE (P)

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + f(t, x, u(t, x), \nabla_x u \sigma(t, x)) = 0, \quad u(T, \cdot) = g,$$

$$\mathcal{L}u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla_x^2 u(t, x)) + b(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x u(t, x).$$

Linear part \implies SDE

$$X_t^{t_0, x_0} = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}) dB_s$$

Nonlinear part \implies BSDE (B)

$$Y_t^{t_0, x_0} = g(X_T^{t_0, x_0}) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}, Y_s^{t_0, x_0}, Z_s^{t_0, x_0}) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^{t_0, x_0} dB_s$$

Nonlinear Feynman-Kac's Formula

If u is smooth solution to (P)

$$\left(u \left(t, X_t^{t_0, x_0} \right), \nabla_x u \sigma \left(t, X_t^{t_0, x_0} \right) \right) \text{ solves the BSDE (B)}$$

Feynman-Kac's Formula

$u(t, x) := Y_t^{t, x}$ is a (viscosity) solution to (P).

Quadratic BSDEs

A real valued BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T Z_s dB_s \quad (\mathbf{E}_{\xi, f})$$

- B is a Brownian motion in \mathbf{R}^d ;
- ξ is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable;
- the generator $f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is measurable and
 - $(y, z) \longmapsto f(t, y, z)$ is continuous
 - f is quadratic with respect to z :

$$|f(t, y, z)| \leq \alpha(t) + \beta|y| + \frac{\gamma}{2}|z|^2$$

where $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and α is a nonnegative process.

The bounded case

If ξ and α – or more generally $|\alpha|_1 := \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds$ – are bounded

- Existence
- Uniqueness, Comparison Theorem
- Stability

References:

- M. Kobylanski (1997 & 2000);
- J.-P. Lepeltier and J. San Martin (superlinear framework, 1998);
- M.-A. Morlais (non brownian setting, preprint)

These results yield

The Nonlinear Feynman-Kac Formula

The unbounded case

- Boundedness of ξ and α is not necessary to construct a solution;
- Exponential moment is enough !

Theorem (Ph. B. & Ying HU)

Let $\zeta := |\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds$ and let us assume that $\mathbb{E} [\exp (\gamma e^{\beta T} \zeta)] < +\infty$.
Then, $(E_{\xi, f})$ has at least a solution such that

$$|Y_t| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \mathbb{E} (\exp (\gamma e^{\beta T} \zeta) \mid \mathcal{F}_t).$$



Construction : $f \geq 0, \xi \geq 0$

(Y^n, Z^n) minimal solution

$$Y_t^n = \xi \wedge n + \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{s \leq \sigma_n} f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dB_s$$
$$\sigma_n = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds \geq n \right\}$$

Step 1: a priori estimate

$$0 \leq Y_t^n \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma e^{\beta T} \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

Step 2: taking the limit in n

Difficult step: **Localization procedure**

The localization procedure

Main Idea

Work on the interval $[0, \tau_k]$ where

$$\tau_k = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma e^{\beta T} \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right) \geq k \right\} \wedge T$$

Set $Y_k^n(t) = Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}^n$, $Z_k^n(t) = \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \tau_k} Z_t^n$

$$Y_k^n(t) = Y_{\tau_k}^n + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \mathbf{1}_{s \leq \sigma_n} f(s, Y_k^n(s), Z_k^n(s)) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} Z_k^n(t) dB_s$$

For fixed k

$$(Y_k^n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{ is nondecreasing,} \quad 0 \leq Y_k^n(t) \leq k$$

The localization procedure

k fixed, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty}$

$$Y_k(t) = \xi_k + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} f(s, Y_k(s), Z_k(s)) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} Z_k(s) dB_s, \quad \xi_k = \sup_{n \geq 1} Y_{\tau_k}^n$$

- By construction

$$Y_k(t) = Y_{k+1}(t \wedge \tau_k), \quad Z_k(t) = \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \tau_k} Z_{k+1}(t)$$

- Define (Y, Z) by

$$Y_t = Y_k(t), \quad Z_t = Z_k(t) \quad \text{if } t \leq \tau_k$$

$$Y_t = \xi_k + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} Z_s dB_s$$

- $k \longrightarrow +\infty$ gives a solution

Remarks

- superlinear framework and $\xi \in L^1$: Ph. B. & Y. Hu
- Monotone continuous generators: Ph. B., J.-P. Lepeltier & J. San Martin
- Reflected Quadratic BSDEs: J.-P. Lepeltier & M. Xu
- Stochastic control : M. Fuhrman, Y. Hu & G. Tessitore

Questions

Uniqueness ? Stability ? Feynman-Kac's formula ?

Answers

When f is convex (or concave) w.r.t. z

Motivation: Stochastic Control Problem

Controlled diffusion process

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) [dW_s + r(u_s) ds]$$

where u takes its values in a nonempty closed set C .

Minimize the cost functional

$$J(u) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T) + \int_0^T G(t, X_t, u_t) dt \right]$$

over all the admissible controls u .

Motivation

Associated BSDE

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s$$

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s$$

$$f(t, x, z) = \inf \{ G(t, x, u) + r(u)z : u \in C \}$$

Important feature of the generator

$z \mapsto f(t, x, z)$ is **concave**

Assumptions (H)

There exist $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and a nonnegative process α s.t. \mathbb{P} -a.s.

- f is Lipschitz w.r.t. y : for any t, z ,

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z)| \leq \beta |y - y'|$$

- quadratic growth in z :

$$|f(t, y, z)| \leq \alpha(t) + \beta|y| + \frac{\gamma}{2}|z|^2$$

- for any $t, y, z \mapsto f(t, y, z)$ is a convex function;
- ξ is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable and

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \left[|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right] \right) \right] < +\infty.$$

Some estimates

Proposition

$(\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$ has a solution (Y, Z) s.t.

$$\forall p \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{p|Y_t|} + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \leq C$$

where C depends only on p , T and the exponential moments of $|\xi| + |\alpha|_1$.

- The estimate for Y comes directly from

$$|Y_t| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\gamma e^{\beta T} (|\xi| + |\alpha|_1) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

- For Z , standard computation starting from Itô's formula to

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left(e^{\gamma|Y_t|} - 1 - \gamma|Y_t| \right)$$

Comparison theorem

Theorem

Let (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') be solution to $(E_{\xi, f})$ and $(E_{\xi', f'})$ where (ξ, f) satisfies (H) and Y, Y' belongs to \mathcal{E} ($\mathcal{E} :=$ exponential moment of all order).

If $\xi \leq \xi'$ and $f \leq f'$ then

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad Y_t \leq Y'_t$$

If moreover, $Y_t = Y'_t$ then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\xi = \xi', \int_t^T f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s) ds = \int_t^T f'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s) ds \right) > 0.$$

In particular, $(E_{\xi, f})$ has a unique solution in the class \mathcal{E} .

Main idea

Estimate of $Y_t - \mu Y'_t$ for $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

Proof: f independent of y

Set, for $\mu \in (0, 1)$, $U_t = Y_t - \mu Y'_t$, $V_t = Z_t - \mu Z'_t$.

$$U_t = U_T + \int_t^T F_s ds - \int_t^T V_s dB_s, \quad F_s = f(s, Z_s) - \mu f'(s, Z'_s)$$

$$F_t = [f(t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Z'_t)] + \mu [f(t, Z'_t) - f'(t, Z'_t)]$$

and $\delta f(t) := f(t, Z'_t) - f'(t, Z'_t) \leq 0$.

$$Z_t = \mu Z'_t + (1 - \mu) \frac{Z_t - \mu Z'_t}{1 - \mu}$$

$$f(t, Z_t) = f\left(t, \mu Z'_t + (1 - \mu) \frac{Z_t - \mu Z'_t}{1 - \mu}\right)$$

$$\text{Convexity} \leq \mu f(t, Z'_t) + (1 - \mu) f\left(t, \frac{Z_t - \mu Z'_t}{1 - \mu}\right)$$

$$f(t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Z'_t) \leq (1 - \mu) f\left(t, \frac{V_t}{1 - \mu}\right) \leq (1 - \mu)\alpha(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)} |V_t|^2$$

$$F_t \leq \mu \delta f(t) + (1 - \mu)\alpha(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)} |V_t|^2$$



Second step

An exponential change of variable to remove the quadratic term

$$P_t = e^{cU_t}, \quad Q_t = cP_t V_t, \quad c \geq 0$$

$$P_t = P_T + c \int_t^T P_s \left(F_s - \frac{c}{2} |V_s|^2 \right) ds - \int_t^T Q_s dB_s$$

$c = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \mu}$ yield

$$P_t \leq P_T + \gamma \int_t^T (\alpha(s) + (1 - \mu)^{-1} \mu \delta f(s)) P_s ds - \int_t^T Q_s dB_s$$

$$P_t \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma \int_t^T (\alpha(s) + (1 - \mu)^{-1} \mu \delta f(s)) ds \right] P_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

$$P_T = \exp \left(\frac{\gamma}{1 - \mu} (\xi - \mu \xi') \right) = \exp \left(\gamma \left(\xi + \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \delta \xi \right) \right)$$

$$P_t \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) + \gamma \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \left(\delta \xi + \int_t^T \delta f(s) ds \right) \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

In particular,

$$Y_t - \mu Y'_t \leq \frac{1 - \mu}{\gamma} \log \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

and sending μ to 1, we get

$$Y_t - Y'_t \leq 0.$$

Strict Comparison

If $Y_t = Y'_t$, then $P_t = e^{\gamma Y_t}$ and

$$0 < \mathbb{E}[P_t] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\gamma \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) + \gamma \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\delta\xi + \int_t^T \delta f(s) ds \right) \right) \right]$$

Sending μ to 1,

$$0 < \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\gamma \left[\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right] \right) \mathbf{1}_{\delta\xi + \int_t^T \delta f(s) ds = 0} \right]$$

► Press if late

The general case

$$F_t = f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f'(t, Y'_t, Z'_t) = f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t) + \mu \delta f(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t) \\ &= f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) + \mu (f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) - f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t)). \end{aligned}$$

Convexity

$$f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) \leq (1 - \mu)(\alpha(t) + \beta|Y_t|) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)}|V_t|^2$$

Linearization: $a(t) = (Y_t - Y'_t)^{-1} (f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) - f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t)) \mathbf{1}_{Y_t - Y'_t \neq 0}$

$$\mu (f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) - f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t)) = \mu a(t) (Y_t - Y'_t) \leq a(t) U_t + (1 - \mu)\beta|Y_t|$$

$$F_t \leq \mu \delta f(t) + (1 - \mu)(\alpha(t) + 2\beta|Y_t|) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)}|V_t|^2 + a(t) U_t.$$

The general case

Set $E_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t a(s) ds\right)$, $\tilde{U}_t = E_t U_t$ and $\tilde{V}_t = E_t V_t$. Then,

$$\tilde{U}_t = \tilde{U}_T + \int_t^T \tilde{F}_s ds - \int_t^T \tilde{V}_s dB_s$$

with, since $|a(t)| \leq \beta$,

$$\tilde{F}_t \leq \mu E_t \delta f(t) + (1 - \mu) E_t (\alpha(t) + 2\beta |Y_t|) + \frac{\gamma e^{\beta T}}{2(1 - \mu)} |\tilde{V}_t|^2$$

This is the same inequality as before. 

Stability

Assume that (ξ_n, f_n) satisfies (H) with α_n, β, γ and

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E} [\exp \{ \lambda (|\xi_n| + |\alpha_n|_1) \}] < +\infty.$$

Theorem

If $\xi_n \longrightarrow \xi$ \mathbb{P} -p.s. and $dt \otimes d\mathbb{P}$ -a.e., $\forall (y, z), f_n(t, y, z) \longrightarrow f(t, y, z)$, then

$$\forall p \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(p \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t - Y_t^n| \right) + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s - Z_s^n|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof.

Same method as in the proof of comparison theorem to

$$Y_t - \mu Y_t^n, \quad Y_t^n - \mu Y_t$$



Application to PDEs

- Probabilistic representation for

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + f(t, x, u(t, x), \nabla_x u \sigma(t, x)) = 0, \quad u(T, \cdot) = g,$$

$$\mathcal{L}u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla_x^2 u(t, x)) + b(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x u(t, x).$$

- The SDE: X^{t_0, x_0} solution to

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s$$

- The BSDE: $(Y^{t_0, x_0}, Z^{t_0, x_0})$ solution to

$$Y_t = g\left(X_T^{t_0, x_0}\right) + \int_t^T f\left(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}, Y_s, Z_s\right) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s$$

- Nonlinear Feynman-Kac's formula: $u(t, x) := Y_t^{t, x}$ is a viscosity solution

Assumptions

- b, σ, f and g are continuous;
- b, σ Lipschitz w.r.t. x

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, x')| + |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, x')| \leq \beta|x - x'|;$$

- restriction: σ is bounded;
- f is Lipschitz w.r.t. y

$$|f(t, x, y, z) - f(t, x, y', z)| \leq \beta|y - y'|;$$

- $z \mapsto f(t, x, y, z)$ is convex;
- $\exists p < 2$ s.t.

$$|g(x)| + |f(t, x, y, z)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p + |y| + |z|^2).$$

First properties

Proposition

$u(t, x) := Y_t^{t, x}$ is continuous and

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p).$$

Proof.

- Since σ is bounded

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{t_0, x_0}|^p \right) \right] \leq e^{C(1 + |x|^p)}$$

- a priori estimate

◀ Here

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p)$$

- Stability \implies Continuity

□

u is a viscosity solution

Definition

A continuous function u s.t. $u(T, \cdot) = g$ is a viscosity subsolution (**supersolution**) if, whenever $u - \varphi$ has a local maximum (**minimum**) at (t_0, x_0) where φ is $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}$,

$$\partial_t \varphi(t_0, x_0) + \mathcal{L}\varphi(t_0, x_0) + f(t_0, x_0, u(t_0, x_0), \nabla_x u \sigma(t_0, x_0)) \geq 0, \quad (\leq 0)$$

Solution = Subsolution + Supersolution

Proposition

$u(t, x) := Y_x^{t,x}$ is a viscosity solution to the PDE.

Proof.

- Markov property : $u(t, X_t^{t_0, x_0}) = Y_t^{t_0, x_0}$
- Comparison theorem

□

To do or at least try to do

- Replace the Lipschitz continuity in y by monotony
- Weaken the integrability assumptions

$$|g(x)| + |f(t, x, y, z)| \leq C (1 + |x|^2 + |y| + |z|^2)$$

- Prove uniqueness and stability without convexity

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y, z')| \leq C |z - z'| (1 + |z| + |z'|)$$

- Conclude the talk